

Termhedron I_&ers. Vol. 35, No. 45, pp. 8417-8420, 1994 Elsevier Science Ltd **Printed in Great Britain oo40-4039194 57.cm+O.00**

0040-4039(94)01818-9

X-Ray Crystalhgrapbic Studies of a 1,3-Alternateadix[4lareme-Na+ Complex. Is the Cation-π Interaction Operative between the Benzene Rings and Na+?

Atsushi Ikeda, Hirohisa Tsuzuki[†], and Seiji Shinkai^{*}

Department of Chemical Science & Technology, Faculty of Engineering, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812, Japan 7 Center of Advanced Jnstrumental Analysis, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816, Japan

Abstmck The structure **of a 1.3~altemate-calix[4]arene~Na+ complex was determined by X-ray** crystallography for the first time. It showed that two well-preorganized oxygen bases ($OCH₂$ C= O **groups) contribute to the Na+-binding whereas two x-basic benzene rings, unliie those for the K+ complex, ate not involved. The complex adopts a highly symmetrical structure, which is the** origin of the facile "Na⁺-tunneling" across the 1,3-alternate-calix[4]arene cavity.

Tetrakis((ethoxycarbonyl)methoxy)calix[4]arene with a 1,3-alternate conformation (1,3-alternate-1) is **an interesting molecule because of its unique structural charactreristics: for example. (i) a metal-binding site is** composed of two "hard" oxygenic ligands and two "soft" π -basic benzene rings, (ii) there are such two **binding-sites at the two sides of the catix[4]arene cavity, and (iii) the two binding-sites ate linked with each other by a x-basic tunnel. The structural characteristics are reflected by the unique metal-binding properties:** for example, (i) 1,3-alternate-1 forms a 1:1 **1/Na+** complex as well as a 1:2 **1/Na+** complex¹ and (ii) in the 1:1 complex Na⁺ vibrates between two metal-binding sites through the π -basic tunnel.^{2,3} In spite of these intriguing metal-binding properties, the metal-binding mechanism is not understood in detail. For example, $K_{1:1}$ for the formation of the 1:1 1/Na⁺ complex (10^{4.38} M⁻¹ in CDCl₃:CD₃OD = 1:1 v/v at -50 °C) is much greater than the $K_{1:2}$ for the formation of the 1:2 $1/Na^{+}$ complex (10^{0.60} M⁻¹).¹ This may be due to the **electrostatic repulsion between two Na+ ions or may be due to the first Na+-induced conformational change which is unfavorable to the second Na+-binding** *(i.e., the* **allosteric effect observed for certain bis(crown** ether) $s^{4,5}$. It is known that in the binding of K^{+} and Ag^{+} to 1.3-alternate-calix^[4]arenes not only M^{+} ₁. **electrostatic interaction** but also the M+-benzene **cation-x interaction participates in the binding even&3 but** it is still ambiguous if the similar cation- π interaction is operative in the binding of Na⁺. The fact that the $K_{1:1}$ for 1,3-alternate-1 (10^{4.10} M⁻¹ in THF at 30 °C) is greater than that for cone-1 (10^{3.95} M⁻¹)¹ may be related to the π -base participation in the 1,3-alternate-1-Na⁺ complex. We considered that these difficult problems can **be solved only by the X-ray crystallographic study. Here, we address the first example for the X-ray structure** of the 1,3-alternate-calix^[4]arene-Na⁺ complex.⁶

Recrystallization of 1,3-alternate-1 and NaClO₄ (1:1 molar ratio) from chloroform-methanol gave colorless crystals. The elemental analysis⁷ established that the stoichiometry of the crystals is 1:1. The method for the X-ray crystallographic analysis is similar to those described previously.^{3,6} The crystal data are summarized in Table 1 and the X-ray structure is illustrated in Fig. 1.8

One can raise a number of interesting **points about the X-ray structure. Firstly, Na+ interacts only with** two OCH₂C=O groups but not with the benzene rings: that is, in the present system the π -bases do not participate in the Na+-binding. Then, why is the $K_{1:1}$ for 1,3-alternate-1-Na+ based on the interaction between Na⁺ and two OCH₂C=O groups greater than that for cone-1 \cdot Na⁺ based on the interaction between Na⁺ and four OCH₂C=O groups? In Table 2 we compare the dihedral angles (θ) between the mean plane of the four ArCH₂Ar methylene carbons and each benzene ring. The θ values for 1,3-alternate-3 (the structure is illustrated in Table 2) predicted by the MM3 computation⁹ are very close to 90 $^{\circ}$. In 1,3-alternate-2, on the other hand, the θ values are significantly smaller than 90°, indicating that the benzene rings are flattened to relax the steric crowding among O - and para-substituents. It is acceptable to consider that the θ values in metal-uncomplexed 1,3-alternate-l should be similar **to those in** 1,3-alternate-2 because their structures am similar to each other. It is seen from Table 1 that although Ph_1 is a little more flattened than that in 1,3alternate-2, the residual three θ values are very similar to those in 1,3-alternate-2. This implies that in 1,3alternate-1 the distance between two OCH₂C=O groups is suitably "preorganized" to the Na+-binding. In cone-1, in constant, four OCH₂C=O groups must be significantly flattened upon Na⁺-binding.¹⁰ Hence, one can consider that the difference in the preorganization explains why 1.3-alternate-1 using only two OCH $_2$ C=O groups possesses the $K_{1,1}$ greater than cone-1 using four OCH₂C=O groups. Since the first Na+-binding does not induce a serious conformational change, the relation of $K_{1:1} > K_{1:2}$ is attributable to the electrostatic repulsion but not to the allosteric effect.

Secondly, we have to discuss why K⁺ can enjoy the π -base participation³ while Na⁺ cannot. In the present system, the distances from Na+ to the para-carbons are 3.32 and 3.88. **A.** Since the sum of the half thickness of the benzene π -electron cloud (1.70 Å) and the Na⁺ radius (1.16 Å) is 2.86 Å, Na⁺ cannot interact with the π -bases. On the other hand, the sum of the half thickness of the benzene π -electron cloud and the K⁺ radius (1.65 \hat{A}) is 3.35 \hat{A} . This value is large enough to interact with the π -bases around the para-carbons. Although the "softer" K+ may interact with the π -base more favorably than the "harder" Na+,¹¹ the Na+/K⁺ difference in 1.3~alternate-1 is ascribed to the steric problem.

Fig. 1 X-Ray strucuture of the 1,3-alternate-l*NaClOq complex.

Table 2 Comparison of the dihedral angles (@

Thirdly, the ¹H NMR studies established that $Na⁺$ bound to 1,3-alternate-1 can enjoy the "metaltunneling" across the π -basic cavity.³ However, they did not tell us if the hopping of Na+ from one binding**site to another binding-site aeeompanies the conformational change.1 The X-ray structure in Fig. 1 and the dihedral angles in Table 2 show that 1,3-alternate-l adopts a nearly symmetrical structure although Na+ is bound only to one binding-site. This means that the "metal-tunneling" can take place** without **accompanying** the conformational change. We believe that the absence of the conformational change may facilitate the Na+**tunneling in 1.3~alternate-l*Na+.**

In conclusion, the present study is the first example for the X-ray structure determination of the conformationally-immobile 1,3-alternate-calix[4]arene-Na⁺ complex. The study has provide several clues to explain how Na⁺ is bound to the binding-site in 1,3-alternate-calix[4]arene and why Na⁺ can enjoy the "metal**tunneling". We believe that these lines of bssic knowledge are useful to understand the relative contribution of the oxygen base and the x-base to the metal-binding and provide guiding principles for the design of A**basic ion channels, ¹¹ calix^[4] arene-based nano-tubes, ¹² etc.

References

- 1 K. Iwamoto and S. Shinkai, *J. Org. Chem.*, **57.** 7066 (1992).
- **2 A. fkeda and S. Shinkai, Tefrahedron Len.. 33,7385 (1992).**
- **3. A. Ikeda and S. Shinkai,** *J. Am. Chem. Sot.,* **116.3102 (1994).**
- **4. J. Bouquant, A. Delvile, J. Grandjean, snd P. Lsszlo.** *J. Am. Chem. Sot.,* **104.686 (1982).**
- 5 J. Rebek, Jr., R. V. Wattley, T. Costello, R. Gadwood, and L. Marshall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 102, 7393 **(1980).**
- **6 There are three examples for the X-ray structure determination of metal-uncomplexed 1.3~ahematecalix[4]arenes: K. Fujimoto, N. Nishiyama, H. Tsuzuki, and S. Shinkai, J.** *Chem. Sot., Perkin Tram.* **2, 1992.643; W. Verboom. S. Dana,** *Z.* **Asfari, S. Harkema. and D. N. Reinhoudt.** *J. Org Chem..* **57.5394 (1992); E. Ghidini, F. Ugozzoli. R. Ungsro, S. Harkema, A. A. El-Fadl, and D. N. Reinhoudt.** *J. Am. Chem. Sot.,* **112,6979 (1990). On the other hand, conformationally-mobile 5,11.17,23-tetra-tert-butyl-2526.2728~tetramethoxycslix[4]arene forms a complex with EtAlClz to give the 1,3-sltemate conformer: S. G. Bott, A. W. Coleman, and J. L. Atwood,** *J. incl. Phenom., 5, 747* **(1987).**
- 7. Anal. Calcd for C₆₀H₈₀O₁₂·NaClO₄: C, 64.59; H, 7.23%. Found: C, 63.71; H, 7.24%.
- **8. Detailed X-ray crystallographic data are available from Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.**
- 9. T. Harada, J. M. Rudzinski, and S. Shinkai, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1992, 2109.
- **10. A. Yamada. T. Murase, K. Kikukawa, T. Arimura, and S. Shinkai,** *J. Chem. Sot.. Perkin Trans.* **2,1991, 793.**
- **11. R. A. Kumpf and D. A. Dougherty, Science, 261, 1708 (1993).**
- **12. A. Ikeda and S. Shinkai, to be submitted.**

(Received in Japan 27 June **1994;** *accepted 27 August* **1994)**